

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Alexandria Division**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ZACKARY ELLIS SANDERS,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:20-cr-00143
The Honorable Judge Ellis

CONSENT MOTION TO SEAL AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF SAME

Pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 49(E), defendant, Zackary Ellis Sanders, through counsel, moves for an order permitting the defense to file under seal portions of Mr. Sanders's Response to Government's Supplemental Brief, as well the entirety of exhibit 1 (which discusses discovery from the Government and information subject to protective order in its entirety), that Mr. Sanders intends to file with this Court on August 12, 2020. A proposed order is attached for the Court's consideration.¹ The Government has previously consented to Mr. Sanders filing under seal material that relates to discovery that is under a protective order. Mr. Sanders requests sealing for the same reasons here. In support of this motion, the defense further states:

I. Item to be Filed Under Seal, and Necessity for Sealing

A. Mr. Sanders asks the Court to seal portions of his proposed Response to the Government's Supplemental Brief and the entirety of exhibit 1, which pertains to and refers to

¹ The document to be filed under seal will be filed with the Court non-electronically pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 49(E) and the Electronic Case Filing Policies and Procedures (*see* p. 21). Pursuant to the Local Rules, the sealed document is to be treated as sealed pending the outcome of this motion.

material that is under a protective order. *See* 1:20-MJ-114 ECT No. 28. The defense has endeavored to seal only the minimum necessary portion of its Response and will file a redacted version on the public docket.

B. Filing the Brief partially under seal is necessary because it contains discussion of material that is under a protective order and Exhibit 1 is in its entirety, a discussion of material under a protective order. *See* 1:20-MJ-114 ECT No. 28.

C. Counsel for Mr. Sanders has considered procedures other than filing under seal and none will suffice to protect disclosure of this information subject to a protective order.

II. Previous Court Decisions Which Concern Sealing Documents

The Court has the inherent power to seal materials submitted to it. *See United States v. Wuagneux*, 683 F.2d 1343, 1351 (11th Cir. 1982); *State of Arizona v. Maypenny*, 672 F.2d 761, 765 (9th Cir. 1982); *Times Mirror Company v. United States*, 873 F.2d 1210 (9th Cir. 1989); *see also Shea v. Gabriel*, 520 F.2d 879 (1st Cir. 1975); *United States v. Hubbard*, 650 F.2d 293 (D.C. Cir. 1980); *In re Braughton*, 520 F.2d 765, 766 (9th Cir. 1975). “The trial court has supervisory power over its own records and may, in its discretion, seal documents if the public’s right of access is outweighed by competing interests.” *In re Knight Pub. Co.*, 743 F.2d 231, 235 (4th Cir. 1984).

III. Period of Time to Have the Document Under Seal

The materials to be filed under seal would need to remain sealed as long as the protective order remains in effect.

Accordingly, Mr. Sanders respectfully requests that this Court enter an order allowing the defense to file under seal portions of his Response, as well as to seal the entirety of exhibit 1 (discussion entirely of material under protective order).

Dated: August 12, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jonathan S. Jeffress

Jonathan S. Jeffress (#42884)

Emily Anne Voshell (#92997)

Jade Chong-Smith (admitted *pro hac vice*)

KaiserDillon PLLC

1099 14th Street, NW

8th Fl. West

Washington, DC 20005

Tel: (202) 640-4430

Fax: (202) 280-1034

jjeffress@kaiserdillon.com

evoshell@kaiserdillon.com

jchong-smith@kaiserdillon.com

Attorneys for Defendant

Zackary Ellis Sanders

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 12, 2020, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will then send a notification of such filing to counsel of record.

/s/ Emily Voshell
Emily Voshell

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Alexandria Division**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ZACKARY ELLIS SANDERS,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:20-cr-00143
The Honorable Judge Ellis

[PROPOSED] SEALING ORDER

This matter having come before the Court on the defendant's Consent Motion for Leave to File Under Seal pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 49(E). Accordingly, for good cause shown, the Motion for Leave to File Under Seal is granted.

It is so ORDERED.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Date: _____

Alexandria, Virginia